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#### Abstract

$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, prepared in situ, reacted with alkynes (ethyne, propyne, 2-butyne, 3 -hexyne, phenylacetylene, and diphenylacetylene) to give the cationic alkyne complexes trans $-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)($ alkyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (trans-Me-Re-Me). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ studies indicated that a cis isomer was formed initially. Kinetics studies showed that the isomerizations were first order in the alkyne complex. The observed rate constants depended on the steric bulk of the alkyne with bulkier alkynes producing smaller $k_{\text {obs }}$ values. An Eyring plot for the isomerization of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)(2-$ butyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ yielded $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=21(1) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=6(3)$ eu. The isomerization mechanism was proposed to involve the rearrangement of a five-coordinate intermediate formed by dissociation of a Re-N bond. Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate afforded the metallacycle $[\operatorname{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}($ bipy $)]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ via insertion of the alkyne into a $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond. Trans$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\mathrm{RCCH})(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ reacted with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to form the ylide complexes cis- $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ or $\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). In each case, a trans isomer (trans-Me-Re-Me) of the ylide complex was formed initially Spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic studies suggest that the ylide complexes can be described as organometallic analogs of resonance-stabilized phosphonium ylides. The structures of trans-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{PhCCPh})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, $[\operatorname{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{bipy})]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right], \quad$ cis $-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \quad$ and $\quad c i s-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}-\right.$ (bipy) $\left.\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ were determined by X-ray crystallography. © 2000 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Nucleophilic attack at coordinated alkynes is a wellknown reaction. Chisholm and Clark, for example, reported nucleophilic attack of $\mathrm{RO}^{-}$on platinumalkyne complexes [1], Bottrill and Green described similar reactions of hydride with molybdenum-alkyne complexes [2], and Reger carried out extensive studies on the addition of alkyls to cationic iron alkyne complexes [3]. More recently, it was reported that the addition of ethyne to $\mathrm{ReOR}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ formed $\mathrm{ReOR}_{3}\left[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]$ via a mechanism proposed to
involve ethyne displacement of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ and subsequent an attack on coordinated ethyne by external phosphine [4]. A similar mechanism was probably involved in the formation of $\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{Ndip}_{2}\right)_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]}$ from $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{Ndip})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ (ethyne) reported by Schrock and co-workers. [5].

We described recently the synthesis of phosphine and acetonitrile adducts of cationic rhenium oxo-alkyl complexes [6]. As part of a broader study on the reactivity of these electrophilic compounds, we report here the syntheses of alkyne complexes and their subsequent reactions with phosphines to form ylide products by way of nucleophilic attack at the alkyne carbon.
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## 2. Results and discussion

Scheme 1 summarizes our synthetic results.


### 2.1. Synthesis of alkyne complexes

$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, prepared in situ [6], reacts with excess alkyne in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to give $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)($ alkyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \quad($ alkyne $=$ ethyne (1a), 2butyne (1b), 3-hexyne (1c), diphenylacetylene (1d),


Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of trans-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{PhCCPh})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1d) showing the atom numbering scheme ( $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids).
propyne (1e) and phenylacetylene (1f); Scheme 1). The alkyne adducts are soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, but not in hexanes, benzene, or diethyl ether. The moderately air-sensitive compounds are dark colored in powder form and give purple solutions (with the exception of 1d, which gives dark brown solutions).

An X-ray crystallographic study on 1d was carried out to confirm the structural assignments. A thermal ellipsoid plot is shown in Fig. 1, crystallographic data are presented in Table 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2. In the molecule, the alkyne $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond and the plane of the bipy lie, respectively, nearly perpendicular and parallel to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$ bond vector.
The Re-C(alkyne) distances (average $2.064(8) \AA$ ) are shorter than the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond distances (average $2.187(8) \AA$ ), and the alkyne C-C distance (1.313(11) A) is close to the value for a C-C double bond $(1.34 \AA)$. For comparison, the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}($ alkyne ) and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{3}(\mathrm{PhCCPh})$ are $2.090(7)$ and $1.290(14) \AA$, respectively [4]. The alkyne substituents in $\mathbf{1 d}$ are bent away from the metal center by about $37^{\circ}$, a typical value for alkyne complexes [7]. The $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ distances are within the range of distances reported previously $[4,6,8]$. The Re-N(1) distance (2.284(6) $\AA$ ) is longer than the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ distance $(2.200(6) \AA$ ) due to the trans influence of the oxo group. The Re atom is displaced by $0.37 \AA$ from the plane defined by $\mathrm{C}(13)$, $\mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$, and $\mathrm{N}(2)$ in the direction of the oxygen atom.

One singlet is observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra for the methyl ligands in 1a-d, as expected for trans methyl groups, and two singlets are observed for the methyl ligands in $\mathbf{1 e}-\mathbf{f}$. In the latter case, the cis and trans geometries cannot be distinguished by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy, since both isomers would give rise to two different methyl ligand resonances. We assume that 1e-f have trans geometries by analogy to 1a-d. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum for 1 e shows that the propyne proton couples weakly ( ${ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to the propyne methyl group. For all the molecules, the bipyridine protons give rise to eight resonances, as expected. The ethyne ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift in 1a, determined by recording the spectrum of $\mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{CH}$ labeled 1a, is 148 ppm $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$. The cis isomer of $\mathbf{1 a}$ (cis-Me-Re-Me), which is the kinetic product of the reaction between the cation and ethyne (see below), has an ethyne carbon shift of 121 ppm . The reason for the 27 ppm difference in chemical shifts between the cis and trans isomers is unclear but might reflect a stronger trans influence from the methyl ligand trans to the alkyne ligand in the cis isomer compared to having a nitrogen of the bipy ligand trans to the alkyne in the trans isomer. The chemical shifts of 121 and 148 ppm classify the alkyne ligands as two- and three-electron donors, respectively, according to Templeton's NMR criterion [9]. The 121

Table 1
Crystallographic data for $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PhCCPh}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](\mathbf{1 d})$, $[\mathrm{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{bipy})]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \quad(2)$, $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)-$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathbf{3 b} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathbf{3 c} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$

| Compound | 1d | 2 | 3b. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 3c. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{RePF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPRePF} \mathrm{F}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPRePF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ |
| Formula weight | 711.69 | 675.53 | 913.86 | 803.76 |
| Crystal dimensions (mm) | $0.08 \times 0.25 \times 0.60$ | $0.38 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$ | $0.40 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$ | $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.06$ |
| Space group | $P 21_{1} / n$ (monoclinic) | $P \overline{1}$ (triclinic) | $I 2 / c$ (monoclinic) | $P \overline{1}$ (triclinic) |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 16.500(4) | 9.5090(7) | 34.918(2) | 10.3210(6) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 12.347(2) | 10.2418(7) | 10.9309(7) | 10.5599(6) |
| $c(\mathrm{~A})$ | 13.083(4) | 12.5591(9) | 20.4565(13) | 15.7105(10) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) |  | 75.8480(10) |  | 95.2930(10) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 99.14(2) | 69.0220(10) | 97.9360(10) | 93.5210(10) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  | 84.1300(10) |  | 102.9060(10) |
| Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | -50 | -50 | -50 | -50 |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2632 | 1107.24(14) | 7733.1(8) | 1656.0(2) |
| $D_{\text {calc. }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.80 | 2.026 | 1.570 | 1.612 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 48.0 | 56.43 | 32.87 | 38.25 |
| $R, R_{\text {w }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.029, $0.029{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0208, $0.0546{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0244, $0.0555{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.0282, $0.0705^{\text {e }}$ |

```
\({ }^{\mathrm{a}} R=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid, R_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\).
\({ }^{\mathrm{b}} w=[\sigma(F)]^{-2}\).
\({ }^{\mathrm{c}} w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0232 P)^{2}+(1.9990 P)\right]^{-1}\) where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3\).
\({ }^{\mathrm{d}} w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0194 P)^{2}+(24.5578 P)\right]^{-1}\) where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3\).
\({ }^{\mathrm{e}} w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0366 P)^{2}+(3.7580 P)\right]^{-1}\) where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3\).
```

Table 2
Bond distances $(\AA$ ( $)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)\left(\mathrm{PhCCPh}^{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1d)

| Bond distances |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.669(5) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.284(6) | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.200(6) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.185(7)$ | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.188(8)$ | $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.062(8) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 2.065(8) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.313(11) |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 158.6(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 87.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 102.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 104.0(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 105.6(3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 104.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 71.1(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 74.2(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 73.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 94.5(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 95.6(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 80.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 79.4(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 157.9(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 156.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 146.2(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 79.2(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 115.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 113.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 78.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 37.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 144.1(8) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 141.7(8) |  |  |

ppm value is at the high end of the two-electron donor range $(95-125 \mathrm{ppm}$; the three-electron donor range is $\approx 140-190 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) [9]. Three-electron donation was attributed to the alkynes in $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{3}$ (alkyne) complexes based on similar NMR data (139-148 ppm) [4]. In the latter case, the three-electron alkyne donation was rationalized by a group theoretical analysis, which also indicated that the oxo group donates five electrons to the metal center. By applying the same group theoretical analysis, it appears that $\mathbf{1 a - f}$ are 18 -electron compounds, with the oxo, alkyne, methyl, and bipy ligands contributing five, three, four, and four electrons, respectively.

The alkynes in the $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\text { bipy })(\text { alkyne })\right]^{+}$complexes are perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$ bond vector
because $\pi$ bonding between the alkyne $\pi^{*}$ and rheniumbased HOMO is maximized in this geometry, as illustrated in I [4].


### 2.2. Cis-trans isomerization of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe} 2\right.$ (bipy)(alkyne)] ${ }^{+}$

To understand better the formation of the alkyne complexes, several reactions were carried out in NMR tubes and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. It was
found that cis- $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy)Cl, $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$, and alkyne react in acetonitrile- $d_{3}$ solvent to form initially cis$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)($ alkyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, which isomerizes to the trans isomer (Eq. (1)).


Kinetics studies on selected alkyne derivatives indicate that the cis-trans isomerization is first order in the alkyne complex. At room temperature (r.t.), the rate constants, determined by monitoring the disappearance of the cis isomer, depend on the steric bulk of the alkyne substituents, with larger substituents giving


Fig. 2. Eyring plot of the isomerization of cis-[ReOMe ${ }_{2}$ (bipy)(MeCCMe)][ $\left.\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ to trans-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{MeCCMe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ ( $\mathbf{1 b}$ ), where $k$ is the rate constant, $h$ is Planck's constant, $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is Boltzmann's constant, and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin.



Scheme 2.
smaller rate constants: $k_{\text {obs }}\left(21.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.07$ (2-butyne), 2.43 (3-hexyne), 0.672 (phenylacetylene), and 0.218 (diphenylacetylene) $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. An Eyring plot (5.0, $20.0,21.5,25.0$, and $30.0(0.1)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for the isomerization of $\mathbf{1 b}$ yields $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=21(1) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=6(3) \mathrm{eu}$ (Fig. 2).

To further probe the isomerization mechanism, the cis-trans isomerization of $\mathbf{1 f}$ was monitored at r.t. in the presence of approximately two and ten equivalents of phenylacetylene. The rate constants for the two different reactions determined by monitoring the disappearance of the cis isomer differ by $6 \%$, and those based on the appearance of the trans isomer differ by $18 \%$, which is probably still within experimental error. The rate constant (based on the disappearance of cis isomer) is independent of whether $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$or $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}$is the counterion (the difference in $k_{\text {obs }}$ is about $5 \%$ ). These studies suggest that neither the counterion nor dissociation of alkyne is involved in the cis-trans isomerization.

The room-temperature isomerization of $\mathbf{1 f}$ in the presence of approximately ten equivalents of bipy or 100 equivalents of pyridine- $d_{5}$ was also examined. In the presence of excess bipy, the rate constant based on the disappearance of the cis isomer is within experimental error of the value in the absence of added bipy. In the presence of excess pyridine- $d_{5}$, the rate constant based on the disappearance of the cis isomer is about $20 \%$ larger than when no excess pyridine is added. A much larger difference in rate constants, however, is observed upon comparing the rate constants determined from the appearance of the trans isomer versus the disappearance of the $c i s$ isomer. When no bipy or pyridine is added, the rate constants vary by only $2 \%$, but, in the presence of excess bipy or pyridine, the rate constants determined from the appearance of the trans isomer are, respectively, 37 and $144 \%$ smaller than the rate constants based on the disappearance of the cis isomer. A pathway involving the disruption of one $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is a possible rationalization for this result - the excess bipyridine and pyridine could compete for the empty coordination site left by the dissociation at one end of the bipy ligand. An intermediate with a second bipy or pyridine coordinated, however, was not observed directly. Given these considerations and the small entropy of activation found for the isomerization of $\mathbf{1 b}$, the stepwise route shown in Scheme 2, in which the weak $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ bond trans to the oxo ligand dissociates to give a five-coordinate intermediate, represents a plausible mechanism for the cis-trans isomerization. Similar pathways involving five-coordinate intermediates in which a methyl ligand moves trans to the oxo group or one in which the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ bond cis to the oxo group dissociates initially are also possibilities. A least-motion pathway through a tbp structure in which both nitrogens remain attached to Re and the midpoint between


Fig. 3. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $[\operatorname{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{Me})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}($ bipy $)]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2) showing the atom numbering scheme ( $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids).
the nitrogens defines an apical position trans to the oxo ligand cannot be excluded, but such a mechanism is inconsistent with the results of the kinetics runs in the presence of excess bipy and pyridine- $d_{5}$.

### 2.3. Formation of a metallacycle

$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, prepared in situ, reacts with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in acetonitrile to give the oxametallacyclic compound, $\left[\operatorname{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{bipy}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2) via the insertion of the alkyne into a $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Me}$ bond (see Scheme 1). The proton NMR data are consistent with initial formation of cis-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy)$\{\mathrm{MeO}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}\}]^{+}$and subsequent conversion to the trans isomer, which appears to be the immediate precursor to the metallacycle.

An X-ray crystallographic study of $\mathbf{2}$ was performed. A thermal ellipsoid plot of the molecule is shown in Fig. 3, crystallographic data are given in Table 1, and
selected bond distances and angles are presented in Table 3.

The $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{O}(1.667(3) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)(2.114(5) \AA)$ bond distances in $\mathbf{2}$ are within the range of distances reported previously [4,6,8]. The $\operatorname{Re}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ distance (2.062(4) A) is about 0.05 A shorter than the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ distance, but it is significantly longer than the $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in $\mathrm{ReO}_{2}[=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-t-\mathrm{Bu}]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-t-\mathrm{Bu}\right)(1.869(9) \AA)[10]$ and other related high-oxidation-state rhenium alkylidene complexes [11]. A more relevant comparison is probably to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}} 2$ bond distance in the alkenyl cluster $\mathrm{Re}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{O}-i-\operatorname{Pr})_{3}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{5}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{CPh}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)(2.124(17)$ A) [12]. The $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)(1.342(6) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ $(1.455(6) \AA)$ bond distances are typical double and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}^{2}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}^{2}}$ single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances, respectively, but $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ within the metallacycle is slightly shorter than $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)(1.505(6) \AA)$, which is exo to the ring. The $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ bond $(1.244(5) \AA)$ is lengthened slightly compared with $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)(1.192(6) \AA)$ because of the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ interaction. The Re- $\mathrm{O}(2)$ distance $(2.264(3) \AA$ ) is long compared with the terminal $(1.90-1.93 \AA)$ and bridge ( $2.08-2.12 \AA$ ) bonded $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{OR}$ distances in $\mathrm{Re}_{3}\left(\mu-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{6}$ [13], which is consistent with $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$ (2) being a dative $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Re}$ interaction. The metallacyclic ring is nearly planar, with a r.m.s. deviation of $0.014 \AA$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{2}$ is consistent with the solid-state structure by having four different singlets arising from the methyl groups and eight peaks from the bipyridine ligand. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum, the ring $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ chemical shift is 197 ppm . This value is downfield of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ resonance of 153 ppm for $\mathrm{Re}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{O}-$ $i-\operatorname{Pr})_{3}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ph}\right)(\mathrm{O}-i-\operatorname{Pr})_{5}$ [12], but not in the range typically found for Schrock-type rhenium alkylidene complexes such as $\mathrm{ReO}_{2}[=\mathrm{CH}-t-\mathrm{Bu}]\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-t-\mathrm{Bu}\right)$ $(\delta(\operatorname{Re}=C) 283 \mathrm{ppm})[10], \operatorname{Re}(=\mathrm{C}-t-\mathrm{Bu})(=\mathrm{CH}-t-\mathrm{Bu})(\mathrm{O}-t-$ $\mathrm{Bu})_{2} \quad(231 \mathrm{ppm}) \quad[11 \mathrm{a}]$ and $[\mathrm{Re}(=\mathrm{C}-t-\mathrm{Bu})(=\mathrm{CH}-t-$ $\left.\mathrm{Bu})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-t-\mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](292 \mathrm{ppm})$ [14].

Table 3


| Bond distances |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.667(3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.244(5) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.507(6) |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.062(4) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.317(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.455(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.114(5) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.456(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.342(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.124(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.192(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.505(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.133(3) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.327(6) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.455(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.264(3) |  |  |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 100.10(20) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 75.09(13) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Re}$ | 113.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 111.76(14) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 89.3(2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 120.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 110.48(14) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 150.4(2) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 111.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 97.70(20) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 91.9(2) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}$ | 122.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 169.75(13) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.8(2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}$ | 119.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 72.91(13) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 76.3(2) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 127.9(4) |



Fig. 4. Thermal ellipsoid plot of cis- $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}-$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (3b) showing the atom numbering scheme ( $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids).


Fig. 5. Thermal ellipsoid plot of cis $-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}-$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (3c) showing the atom numbering scheme ( $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids).

Taken together, the structural and spectroscopic data suggest IIa is the appropriate resonance form to describe 2, with perhaps some contribution from IIb.


### 2.4. Addition of $P R_{3}$ to coordinated alkyne

Reactions of trimethylphosphine with $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$, and triphenylphosphine with 1a form the ylide complexes cis $-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{CR}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{3 a})$ or $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{3 b}) ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{3 c})$; Scheme 1). The compounds are obtained as dark red crystalline solids that are soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, sparingly soluble in toluene and diethyl ether, and insoluble in hexanes. The reactions to form the ylides were monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectroscopy. The spectra indicate that in each case a trans isomer (trans-$\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Me}$ ) of the ylide complex forms initially, which isomerizes to the cis isomer. Presumably, the trans $\rightarrow$ cis isomerization mechanism is similar to the cis $\rightarrow$ trans isomerization proposed for the parent alkyne complexes (Scheme 2). The reason why the ylide complexes $\mathbf{3}$ prefer the cis geometry and the alkyne complexes ( $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{f}$ ) prefer the trans form in the respective thermodynamic products is not clear to us.
While monitoring the formation of 3 c by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy, a small amount ( $1-2 \%$ ) of the isomer derived from the phosphine attack on $\mathrm{Ph} C \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ was observed ( $\delta 10.8, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=38 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ReCHCPh}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ ). The reason for the large predominance of the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})-$ $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ product over the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CPh}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ isomer is probably a consequence of steric control.
X-ray crystallographic studies of 3b and 3c were performed. Thermal ellipsoid plots are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, crystallographic data are given in Table 1, and selected bond distances and angles are presented in Table 4.

The $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond distances are within the range of distances found previously $[4,6,8]$. The Re-C(13) distances (av. $2.06 \AA$ ) are shorter than typical $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}$ (alkyl) bond lengths and are close to the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(16)$ distance (2.062(4) A) in the metallacycle 2. The $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ distances (av. $1.34 \AA$ ) are typical C-C double bond distances, while the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ distances (av. $1.76 \AA$ ) are similar to the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (av. 1.786(6) $\AA$ ) and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ (av. 1.800(4)) distances. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ distances are longer than the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ylide }}$ distance in $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}$ $(1.661(8) \AA)$ [15], but they are comparable to those in the resonance-stabilized ylides triphenylphosphonium cyclopentadienylide (1.718(2) Å) [16] and triphenylphosphonium dicyanomethylide (1.753(8) A) [17].
The spectroscopic data for 3 are informative. The chemical shifts of the ylide carbon resonances (98-105 ppm ) are close to the value reported for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ylide }}$ in resonance-stabilized triphenylphosphonium cyclopentadienylide ( 78 ppm ) [18], and are far downfield of the shifts reported for ylides such as $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{2}(-2.4 \mathrm{ppm})$ [18a]. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts for $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}(\operatorname{Re}-C)$ of the $\mathrm{ReCR}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CR}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ groups are in the range 192-217 ppm , which are between the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ shift in $\mathrm{Re}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{O}-i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ph}\right)(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{5} \quad$ [12], and the range found for Schrock-type rhenium alkylidenes [11,14] (see discussion in the previous section concerning 2). The spectroscopic and structural data for 3 are in accordance with data reported for related ylide complexes such as $(\mathrm{OC})_{5} \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mo}$, W) [19], $\operatorname{ReO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]$, and $\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]^{+}[4]$.
The spectroscopic and structural data for $\mathbf{3}$ suggest that the bonding can be described as a resonance
composite of IIIa and IIIb (i.e. 3a-c are organometallic analogs of a resonance-stabilized phosphonium propenylide) with IIIa being the dominant contributor. A similar conclusion was reached for ReO $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]^{+}[4]$.


## 3. Conclusions

Cis- $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy)Cl reacted with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{RCCR}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}\right.$, Me, Et or $\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ or
$\mathrm{Ph})$ to give $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{RCCR}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ compounds and with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate to give the metallacycle $[\operatorname{Re}\{\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ $(\mathrm{OMe})\}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Me}($ bipy $)]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, a product of acetylene insertion into a $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Me}$ bond. In all of the reactions, the cis $-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Me}$ isomer of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{RCCR}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ formed initially and then converted to the thermodynamically more stable trans geometry. In the reaction involving dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, the trans isomer appeared to be the immediate precursor to the metallacyclic compound. Based on kinetics and related NMR studies, we propose that the cis-trans isomerization mechanism involves dissociation of one $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}$ bond followed by rearrangement of the five-coordinate intermediate.
Phosphines reacted with trans-[ReOMe ${ }_{2}$ (bipy)( $\left.\left.\mathrm{RCCR}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ to form the ylide complexes cis$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right.$ or $\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). In each case, a trans isomer (trans-Me-Re-Me) of the ylide complex formed initially. Spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic studies suggest that the ylide compounds can be described as organometallic analogs of resonance-stabilized phosphonium ylides.

Table 4
Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](\mathbf{3 b})$ and $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\mathrm{bipy})\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](\mathbf{3 c})$

|  | $\mathbf{3 b}$ | $\mathbf{3 c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bond distances |  |  |
| Re-O(1) | $1.687(3)$ | $1.689(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.132(4)$ | $2.137(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.142(4)$ | $2.158(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.287(3)$ | $2.296(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.204(3)$ | $2.151(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2.033(4)$ | $2.087(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.340(5)$ | $1.338(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.754(4)$ | $1.774(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ |  | $1.507(7)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $103.4(2)$ | $104.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $105.1(2)$ | $101.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $105.0(2)$ | $106.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $89.8(1)$ | $94.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $160.1(1)$ | $165.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $70.4(1)$ | $71.11(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $85.7(2)$ | $83.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $149.6(2)$ | $152.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $93.2(1)$ | $91.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $78.3(1)$ | $79.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $83.4(2)$ | $85.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $141.0(3)$ | $133.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $122.9(3)$ | $130.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{R}$ | $105(2)[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(13)]$ | $110.1(3)[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}(15)]$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $123(3)$ | $120(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{R}$ | $114(2)[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(13)]$ | $116.5(5)[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}(15)]$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $113(3)$ | $110(4)$ |
|  |  |  |

## 4. Experimental

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were performed in flame-dried or oven-dried glassware using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. Solvents were purified by standard techniques. Rhenium metal was purchased from Cleveland Refractory Materials and used as received. $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $) \mathrm{Cl}$ was prepared as described in the literature [6]. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz instrument. Phosphorus NMR spectra were referenced to external $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ with positive chemical shifts downfield.

## 4.1. trans-[ReOMe $($ bipy $)(\mathrm{HCCH})]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1a)

$\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy)Cl $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15-2 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.24 mmol ) was added to the solution. The red-purple solution changed immediately to green, and a white precipitate appeared, indicating $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}\right.$ (bipy)$\left.\left(\mathrm{NCMe}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ had been generated. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then filtered. The green filtrate was frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ethyne ( $\approx 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the flask via a calibrated vacuum manifold. The solution was allowed to warm to r.t., whereupon the color changed to light red. The mixture was stirred for 3 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the dark blue residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25$ $\mathrm{ml})$. The extracts were filtered, and the combined filtrates were then reduced in volume to approximately 10 ml . The solution was layered with hexane ( 30 ml ). The product crystallized at r.t. as the layers mixed. There was a dark blue film on the bottom of the flask after the mother liquor was removed. Under the microscope, the isolated material was composed of very thin blue needles that had blue-green material attached to them. The compound was pure by NMR spectroscopy and chemical analysis (yield $0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 30.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.88 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.01$. Found. C, 29.97; H, 2.87; N, 4.73\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 10.46$ (s, 2, HCCH), 9.41 (d, 1, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 8.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.55\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.09\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2\right.$ Hz , bipy), 7.91 (dt, 1, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $7.37\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.6, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6, \operatorname{Re} M e_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 153,152,151,148,147,143,141,130,129$, 127, 125, $24.3\left(\operatorname{Re} M e_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 148$ $\left(\mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{CH}\right)$. IR (KBr, Nujol, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1659 \mathrm{w}, 1607 \mathrm{~s}$, 1402 w, 1319 w, 1165 m, 1076 w, 1024 w, 983 s, 902 m, $839 \mathrm{~s}, 767 \mathrm{~m}, 723 \mathrm{~m}, 678 \mathrm{w}, 667 \mathrm{w}$.

## 4.2. trans-[ReOMe 2 (bipy)( $\left.\mathrm{MeCCMe}^{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1b)

This compound was prepared by a procedure analogous to the one used to prepare 1a. The com-
pound was isolated as golden yellow crystals (yield $40 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 32.71 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.43; N, 4.77. Found. C, 32.50 ; H, 3.25 ; N, $5.01 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 9.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ bipy $)$, $8.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy $), 8.52\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1\right.$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.04\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.00(\mathrm{t}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $7.40\left(\mathrm{t}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $6.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), 3.24 (s, 6, MeCCMe), 1.91 (s, 6, $\operatorname{Re} M e_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 156$, $152,151,149,147,143,141,129,128,126,125,25.6$ $\left.(\operatorname{ReMe})_{2}\right), 12.5$ ( $M e \mathrm{CCMe}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, N \mathrm{Nujol}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1606 \mathrm{~s} 1753 \mathrm{br}, 1417 \mathrm{w}, 1303 \mathrm{w}, 1155 \mathrm{~m}, 1020 \mathrm{~m}, 970 \mathrm{~s}$, $839 \mathrm{~s}, 723 \mathrm{sh}, 603 \mathrm{sh}, 566 \mathrm{~m}$.

## 4.3. trans-[ReOMe ${ }_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(E t C C E t)\right]\left[P F_{6}\right]$ (1c)

To a green solution of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ [ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ], prepared in situ from $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $) \mathrm{Cl}(0.086 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.20 mmol ) and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(0.050 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15-0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ ), was added 3-hexyne ( 25 $\mu \mathrm{l}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) via microsyringe. The red mixture was stirred for 1 h . The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was taken to dryness in vacuo. The residue was washed with benzene ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and diethyl ether ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The residual dark powder was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 ml ), and then layered with hexanes ( 15 ml ). After 1 day at r.t., a dark powder formed (yield $0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ : C, 35.12; H, 3.93; N , 4.55. Found: C, 35.10; H, 3.60; N, 4.58\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : see IV for numbering system. $\delta$ $9.40\left(\right.$ ddd, $1,{ }^{3} J_{65}=5.7,{ }^{4} J_{64}=1.5,{ }^{5} J_{63}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $)$, 8.71 (ddd, $1,{ }^{3} J_{34}=7.8,{ }^{4} J_{35}=2.1,{ }^{5} J_{36}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 8.52 (dt, $1, J=9.0,{ }^{4} J_{4^{6} 6}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 8.45 (ddd, ${ }^{3} J_{34^{\prime}}=8.1,{ }^{4} J_{3^{\prime} 5^{\prime}}=0.9,{ }^{5} J_{3^{\prime} 6^{\prime}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.10(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=8.0,{ }^{3} J_{46}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 7.93 (ddd, $1,{ }^{3} J_{5^{\prime} 6}=8.7$, ${ }^{3} J_{5^{\prime} 4^{\prime}}=6.0,{ }^{4} J_{5^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 7.38\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1,{ }^{3} J_{54}=7.5\right.$, ${ }^{3} J_{56}=5.7,{ }^{5} J_{53}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 7.05 (ddd, $1,{ }^{3} J_{6^{\prime} '^{\prime}}=5.6$, ${ }^{4} J_{64^{\prime}}=1.2,{ }^{5} J_{63^{\prime}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $3.72\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=16\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{dq}, 2,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6, \operatorname{Re} M e), 1.56\left(\mathrm{t}, 6, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $\left.7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 159,153,152$, $150,148,144,141,129.13,129.06,127,126,24.4$ ( Re Me ), $21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}$, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1609 \mathrm{~s}, 1574 \mathrm{~m}, 1490 \mathrm{~m}, 1321 \mathrm{~s}, 1242 \mathrm{~m}$, $1165 \mathrm{~m}, 1111 \mathrm{~m}, 1078 \mathrm{~m}, 1047 \mathrm{~m}, 1034 \mathrm{~m}, 970 \mathrm{~s}, 895 \mathrm{~m}$, 837 vs, $771 \mathrm{~s}, 733 \mathrm{~m}$.

## 4.4. trans-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{PhCCPh})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1d)

To a green solution of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, prepared in situ from $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}($ bipy $) \mathrm{Cl}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.19 mmol ) and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(0.045 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15 \mathrm{ml})$, was added diphenylacetylene ( 0.037 g , 0.21 mmol ). The red mixture was stirred for 10 h and then filtered. The filtrate was taken to dryness in vacuo,
and the residue was washed with benzene $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml})$. The residual dark powder was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 $\mathrm{ml})$ and then layered with hexanes ( 15 ml ). After 1 day at r.t., golden yellow crystals appeared (yield 0.060 g , $45 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 43.88 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.40; N, 3.94. Found: C, 43.56; H, 3.29; N, 3.94\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 9.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.56\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1\right.$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 8.49 (d, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.10\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), 7.96 (dt, 1 , $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.3, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, P h \mathrm{CCPh}), 7.68\left(\mathrm{t}, 2, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h \mathrm{CC} P h\right), 7.55$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, P h \mathrm{CCPh}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2\right.$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy $)$, 1.97 (s, 6, ReMe). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 158,153,152$, 151, 149, 147, 146.6, 144, 141, 132, 131, 129.9, 129.8, 128.8, 128.5, 127, 126, 29.8 (ReMe). IR (KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1606 \mathrm{~s}, 1321 \mathrm{~s}, 1033 \mathrm{~m}, 972 \mathrm{~s}, 837 \mathrm{vs}, 598 \mathrm{~s}, 559$ s.

## 4.5. trans-[ReOMe ${ }_{2}($ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{HCCMe}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (1e)

This compound was prepared by a procedure analogous to the one used to prepare 1a. The compound was isolated as greenish-yellow crystals (yield $40 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ : C, 31.42; H, 3.16; N, 4.92. Found. C, 31.40; H, 3.16; N, 4.88\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 10.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1, H C C M e), 9.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy $)$, $8.53\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.09\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2\right.$ Hz , bipy), 7.89 (dt, 1, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.9, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $7.39\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.9, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 3.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3,{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HC}-\right.$ CMe), 2.19 (s, 3, ReMe), 1.98 (s, 3, ReMe). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 159,153,149,147,146,143,141,130,129$, 128, 127, 125, 26.7 ( $\mathrm{Re} M e$ ), 24.0 ( $\mathrm{Re} M e$ ), 13.0 (HCCMe). IR (KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}) 1770 \mathrm{w}, 1606$ $\mathrm{sh}, 1406 \mathrm{~m}, 1321 \mathrm{~s}, 1155 \mathrm{~m}, 1113 \mathrm{~m}, 1052 \mathrm{~m}, 1024 \mathrm{~m}$, $976 \mathrm{~s}, 900 \mathrm{~s}, 839 \mathrm{~s}, 768 \mathrm{~s}, 733 \mathrm{~s}, 557 \mathrm{~s}$.

## 4.6. trans-[ReOMe $e_{2}($ bipy $\left.)(P h C C H)\right]\left[P F_{6}\right]$ (1f)

This compound was prepared by a procedure analogous to the one used to prepare 1c. The compound was isolated as a dark blue powder (yield $61 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ : C, 37.80; H, 3.17; N , 4.40. Found. C, 37.36; H, 2.86; N, $4.30 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 10.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1, H C C P h), 9.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.55\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1$, $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.10\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.0, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.1\right.$ Hz , bipy), 7.92 (dt, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.9, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 7.89 (m, 2, PhCCH), 7.69 (m, 2, $P h \mathrm{CCH}$ ), 7.62 (m, 1, $P h \mathrm{CCH}), 7.42\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3, \operatorname{Re}$ Me $), 1.83$
(s, 3, $\operatorname{Re} M e) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 32.0(\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{Me}), 22.6$ ( ReMe ). IR (KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}) 1765 \mathrm{w}, 1605$ sh, $1319 \mathrm{~s}, 1165 \mathrm{~m}, 1111 \mathrm{~m}, 1076 \mathrm{~m}, 1022 \mathrm{~m}, 979 \mathrm{~s}, 947$ w, 837 vs, $767 \mathrm{~s}, 731 \mathrm{~s}$.

## 4.7. $[\overrightarrow{\operatorname{Re} e\{C[C(O) O M e] C(M e) C(O})(O M e)\}(O) M e(b i p y)]-$

 [PF ${ }_{6}$ ] (2)To a green solution of $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ [ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ], prepared in situ from $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy)Cl $(0.080 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(0.048 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15-0.5 \mathrm{ml})$, was added dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate ( $24 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The red mixture was stirred for 2 days, and then filtered. The filtrate was taken to dryness in vacuo, and the residue was washed with benzene ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and diethyl ether $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The residual dark powder was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CL}_{2}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and then layered with hexanes ( 15 ml ). After 3 days at r.t., the compound was isolated as dark purple crystals (yield $0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ). A satisfactory analysis was not obtained. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{RePF}_{6}$ : C, 32.00; H, 2.98; N, 4.15. Found: C, 29.07; H, 2.87; N, 4.13\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 9.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), 9.58 (d, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 8.75 (dt, 1 , $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy $), 8.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.1\right.$ Hz , bipy), 8.59 (m, 1, bipy), 8.45 (m, 1, bipy), 8.17 (m, 1, bipy), 8.00 (m, 1, bipy), 3.90 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3, M e$ ), 3.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3$, Me), 3.21 (s, 3, Me), $2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3, M e) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 197\left(\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}\right), 178,176,160,158.4,157.6,154$, 147, 145, 130.7, 129.6, 125.7, 125.5, 124.5, 55.6 (OMe), 53.1 (OMe), 13.8, 11.6. IR (KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1713 s , $1606 \mathrm{~s}, 1597 \mathrm{~s}, 1246 \mathrm{~s}, 1167 \mathrm{w}, 1111 \mathrm{w}, 1047 \mathrm{~m}, 1022 \mathrm{~s}$, 841 vs, $770 \mathrm{~s}, 729 \mathrm{~m}$.

## 4.8. cis-[ReOMe $e_{2}($ bipy $\left.)\left\{C(H) C H\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[P F_{6}\right]$ (3a)

Compound 1a ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{ml})$. The purple solution was frozen, and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask via a calibrated vacuum manifold. The solution was allowed to warm to r.t., whereupon the color changed to dark red. The mixture was stirred for 3 h and then filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo from the filtrate, and the dark red residue was washed with diethyl ether $(2 \times 25$ $\mathrm{ml})$. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, and the extracts were filtered. The filtrates were combined and then reduced in volume to approximately 10 ml . The solution was layered with hexanes ( 30 ml ). The product formed as a dark red powder at r.t. as the layers mixed (yield $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ : C, 32.13; H, 3.96; N, 4.41. Found: C, 32.25; H, 3.95; N, 3.93.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 11.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17.1, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=\right.$ $33.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ReCHCHP}$ ), $9.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.3, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.7\right.$ Hz , bipy), 8.53 (dd, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy),
$8.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.7, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy $), 8.05-7.88$ (m, 3, bipy), 7.60 (ddd, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=4.5, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=$ 1.4 Hz , bipy), 7.39 (dt, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $3.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, \quad 1, \quad J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17.1, \quad J_{\mathrm{PH}}=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, ReCHCHP), 3.04 (s, 3, $\operatorname{Re} M e$ ), 2.01 (s, 3, $\operatorname{Re} M e$ ), 1.52 $\left(\mathrm{d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P} M e_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 192$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{CP}}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Re} C H C H P\right), 153,151,150,147,141$, 139, 127, 126, 125, 124, 105 (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}=81 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ReCHCHP), $19.0(\operatorname{Re} M e), 16.8(\operatorname{Re} M e), 11.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=\right.$ $\left.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PMe})_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta$ 9.5. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}$, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1607 \mathrm{~s}, 1584 \mathrm{w}, 1572 \mathrm{w}, 1499 \mathrm{~m}, 1315 \mathrm{~m}$, $1302 \mathrm{~s}, 1265 \mathrm{w}, 1242 \mathrm{w}, 1165 \mathrm{~m}, 1135 \mathrm{~m}, 978 \mathrm{~s}, 875 \mathrm{~s}$, $841 \mathrm{vs}, 766 \mathrm{~s}, 557 \mathrm{~s}$.

## 4.9. cis-[ReOMe 2 bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF} F_{6}\right]$ (3b)

Compound 1a ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{ml})$, yielding a purple solution. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.050$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution turned to red immediately. The mixture was stirred for 3 h and then filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo, and the dark red residue was washed with diethyl ether $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, and the extracts were filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrates were combined, and the volume was reduced in vacuo to approximately 10 ml . The solution was layered with hexanes ( 30 ml ). The compound precipitated as a dark powder as the layers mixed (yield $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ORePF}_{6}$ : C, 46.77; H, 3.88; N, 3.41. Found: C, 46.52; H, 4.01; N, 3.01\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 11.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=17.0\right.$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{PH}}=32.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ReCHCHP}\right), 8.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), 8.56 (d, $1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), 8.30 (d, 1 , $J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, bipy), $8.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy $)$, 7.99 (m, 2, bipy), 7.83-7.22 (m), $4.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $16.8, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=31 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ReCHCHP}$ ), 3.07 (s, 3, ReMe), 2.06 (s, 3, ReMe). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 204\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=9.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Re}$ CHCHP), 98.4 (d, $J_{\mathrm{CP}}=83 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ReCHCHP), $19.6(\operatorname{Re} M e), 18.7(\operatorname{Re} M e) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 12.3$. IR (KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1605 \mathrm{~m}, 1315 \mathrm{w}, 1263 \mathrm{w}, 1242$ w, $1161 \mathrm{w}, 1111 \mathrm{~s}, 1026 \mathrm{w}, 983 \mathrm{~s}, 839 \mathrm{vs}, 768 \mathrm{~s}, 746 \mathrm{~m}$, $725 \mathrm{~s}, 692 \mathrm{~m}, 588 \mathrm{~s}, 517 \mathrm{~s}$.

### 4.10. cis-[ReOMe $e_{2}($ bipy $\left.)\left\{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (3c)

Compound 1a ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{ml})$. The purple solution was frozen, and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask via a calibrated vacuum manifold. The solution was allowed to warm to r.t., whereupon the color turned to dark red. The mixture was stirred for 3 days and then filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo. The dark red residue was washed with diethyl ether $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$, and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10$ $\mathrm{ml})$. The extracts were combined and filtered, and the
filtrate volume was reduced in vacuo to approximately 10 ml . The solution was layered with hexanes ( 30 ml ). The compound precipitated as a dark powder as the layers mixed (yield $0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $8.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, bipy), $7.94(\mathrm{~m}), 7.6(\mathrm{~m}), 7.4-7.1(\mathrm{~m}), 6.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=29.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ReC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CHP}\right), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $3, \operatorname{Re} M e), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3, \operatorname{Re} M e), 1.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 217\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ $\operatorname{ReC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CHP}), 153,152,150,147,141,139,129.5$, $128.5,127.8,127.1,126,125.3,125.2,124.4,124.3$, 124.2, 103 ( $\left.\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=78 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{ReC}(\mathrm{Ph}) C H P\right), 22.6$ $(\operatorname{Re} M e), \quad 13.8 \quad(\operatorname{Re} M e), 13.7 \quad\left(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J_{\mathrm{CP}}=56.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{ReC}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CHP} M e_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta$ 5.76. IR ( KBr, Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1607 \mathrm{~m}, 1505 \mathrm{w}, 1300 \mathrm{~s}, 1263 \mathrm{w}$, $1244 \mathrm{w}, 1157 \mathrm{~m}, 1136 \mathrm{~m}, 978 \mathrm{~s}, 959 \mathrm{~s}, 839 \mathrm{vs}, 766 \mathrm{~s}$.

### 4.11. Kinetics studies

The isomerization of cis- to trans-[ $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}{ }^{-}$ (bipy)(alkyne) $]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. For each kinetics run, $\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}\right.$ (bipy)$\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ was generated directly in the NMR tube by reacting $\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}$ (bipy) Cl with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ using a 0.02 M solution of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ in acetonitrile- $d_{3}$ as the solvent. A slight excess of alkyne (1.5-2 equivalents) was then added to the NMR tube via syringe or calibrated vacuum line. In all cases, the reactions to form the alkyne complexes were complete by the time the first spectrum could be recorded. Concentrations of the cis and trans isomers were determined periodically by integrating the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{Me}$ resonances versus the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ internal standard. The initial concentration of cis$\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)($ alkyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, which varied between 0.02 and 0.04 M , was assumed to be equal to the sum of the concentrations of the cis and trans isomers in the first recorded spectrum. The rate constants based on the disappearance of $c i s-\left[\mathrm{ReOMe}_{2}(\right.$ bipy $)($ alkyne $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ at $21.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were 3.07 (2-butyne), 2.43 (3-hexyne), 0.672 (phenylacetylene), and 0.218 (diphenylacetylene) $\times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. Each rate constant represents the average of two runs; the two rate constants determined from the two different runs varied by $4-14 \%$.

The isomerization of cis $\mathbf{- 1 b}$ to trans $\mathbf{- 1 b}$ at various temperatures was also monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. Solutions of $c i s-\mathbf{1 b}$ in acetonitrile- $d_{3}$, generated as described above, were cooled or heated in sealed NMR tubes directly in the probe. The initial concentrations of cis-1b varied between 0.01 and 0.02 M. The temperature was held within $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of 15,20 , $21.5,25$, and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The rate constants calculated from the rate of disappearance of cis-1b were 1.29, 2.33, 3.07, 4.66 , and $8.55 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $15,20,21.5,25$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Each rate constant represents the average of two runs except at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where only one run was
recorded. The rate constants determined from the two runs at any one temperature differed by $2-9 \%$. The resulting activation parameters were calculated to be $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=21(1) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=6(3)$ eu. The errors in these values were estimated from a standard linear regression analysis [20].

Rate constants based on the disappearance of $c i s-\mathbf{1 f}$ in the presence of two and ten equivalents of phenylacetylene (acetonitrile- $d_{3}, 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were 6.60 and $6.99 \times$ $10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively, and the corresponding rate constants based on the appearance of trans-1f were 5.77 and $6.88 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. The rate constant based on the disappearance of cis-[ReOMe ${ }_{2}$ (bipy)( PhCCH ) $]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right] \quad$ (acetonitrile- $d_{3}, 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was $6.27 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the rate constant based on the appearance of the trans isomer was $6.69 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Rate constants based on the disappearance of cis-1f (acetonitrile $-d_{3}, 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the presence of ten equivalents of bipyridine or 100 equivalents of pyridine- $d_{5}$ were, respectively, 6.45 and $7.71 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the corresponding rate constants based on the appearance of trans-1f were, respectively, 4.42 and $1.24 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (the values for the pyridine- $d_{5}$ experiments were averaged from two runs).

### 4.12. $X$-ray structure determinations

Crystals for analyses of $\mathbf{1 d}$ (olive green flat plate), $\mathbf{2}$ (dark purple column), $\mathbf{3} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (brown tapered column), and $3 \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (dark red diamond-shaped thin plate) were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexanes at r.t. (1d and 2) or toluene at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{3 b} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right.$ and $\left.3 \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$. X-ray data for $\mathbf{1 d}$ were collected on a Nicolet R $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ diffractometer, and data for the others were collected on a Siemens SMART platform diffractometer equipped with a 1 K CCD area detector. The crystals were manipulated under mineral oil during the mounting procedures. Data for $\mathbf{1 d}$ were collected using the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique.

The anion in 1d was found to be disordered over two different orientations, which was treated by introducing two individual rigid bodies at this site and allowing independent rotation. By comparison of the isotropic thermal parameters involved, the population factors were determined to be $60: 40 \%$ for the $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ orientations. In $\mathbf{3 b} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, the toluene solvent molecule was treated as a rigid body because of disorder. The analyses of $\mathbf{2}$ and $3 \mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ were routine.

## 5. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1 d}$, 2, 3b $\cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathbf{3 c} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC nos. 135955, 135956, 135958, and 135957 , respectively). Copies of this information can be obtained free from The Director, CCDC,

12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam. ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## Acknowledgements

Support for this research was provided by the Environmental Institute of Houston and the Robert A. Welch Foundation. T.R.L. wishes to acknowledge support from the NSF CAREER program (CHE-9625003). This work made use of MRSEC/TCSUH Shared Experimental Facilities supported by the National Science Foundation under Award Number DMR-9632667 and the Texas Center for Superconductivity. We thank Dr James Korp for technical assistance with the X-ray crystallography analyses, and Professor Tom Albright for insightful discussions.

## References

[1] M.H. Chisholm, H.C. Clark, Acc. Chem. Res. 6 (1973) 202.
[2] M. Bottrill, M. Green, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 5795.
[3] D.L. Reger, Acc. Chem. Res. 21 (1988) 229.
[4] D.M. Hoffman, J.C. Huffman, D. Lappas, D.A. Wierda, Organometallics 12 (1993) 4312.
[5] D.S. Williams, M.H. Schofield, R.R. Schrock, Organometallics 12 (1993) 4560.
[6] J.H. Jung, D.M Hoffman, T.R. Lee, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1999) 4487.
[7] (a) J.K. Felixberger, J.G. Kuchler, E. Herdtweck, R.A. Paciello, W.A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27 (1988) 946. (b) E. Spaltenstein, J.M. Mayer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 7744.
[8] D.M. Hoffman, D.A. Wierda, Polyhedron 8 (1989) 959 and references therein.
[9] J.L. Templeton, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 29 (1989) 1.
[10] S. Cai, D.M. Hoffman, D.A. Wierda, Organometallics 15 (1996) 1023.
[11] (a) R. Toreki, R.R. Schrock, W.M. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114 (1992) 3367. (b) R. Toreki, G.A. Vaughan, R.R. Schrock, W.M. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 127. (c) M.H. Schofield, R.R. Schrock, L.Y. Park, Organometallics 10 (1991) 1844.
[12] D.M. Hoffman, D. Lappas, D.A. Wierda, Organometallics 16 (1997) 972.
[13] W. Zhuang, B.E. Truitt, D.M. Hoffman, Inorg. Chem. 36 (1997) 3330.
[14] A.M. Lapointe, R.R. Schrock, Organometallics 14 (1995) 1875.
[15] J.C.J. Bart, J. Chem. Soc. B (1969) 350.
[16] H.L. Ammon, G.L. Wheeler, P.H. Watts Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 6158.
[17] R. Richter, H. Hartung, S. Deresch, J. Kaiser, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 469 (1980) 179.
[18] (a) K.A. Ostoja Starzewaki, H. Bock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 8486. (b) G.A. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 7736.
[19] (a) S. Voran, H. Blau, W. Malisch, U. Schubert, J. Organomet. Chem. 232 (1982) C33. (b) H. Blau, W. Malisch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19 (1980) 1019. (c) W. Malisch, H. Blau, U. Schubert, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19 (1980) 1020. (d) W. Malisch, H. Blau, S. Voran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 17 (1978) 780.
[20] J.C. Miller, J.N. Miller, Statistics for Analytical Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1988 Chapter IV.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1 *}$ Corresponding author. Fax: +1-713-7432709; e-mail: hoffman@uh.edu
    ${ }^{2}$ * Corresponding author. Fax: +1-713-7432726; e-mail: trlee@uh.edu

